Certified Test Report BR606 Blast Resistant Glazing System

SHOCK TUBE TESTING OF WINDOWS FOR BLAST RESISTANCE CERTIFICATION

BakerRisk Project No. 01-00750-002-00

Prepared for: United States Aluminum Corp.

> Prepared by: Michael J. Lowak

> > January 5, 2005



Baker Enginering and Rieb Consultants, Inc. 3330 Oakwell Court, Suite 100 San Antonio, TX 78218-302 PH: (210) 824-5960 FX: (210) 824-5964

BakerRisk Project No. 01-00750-002-00 January 5, 2005

Notice

Baker Engineering and Risk Consultants, Inc. (BakerRisk) made every reasonable effort to perform the work contained herein in a manner consistent with high professional standards.

The work was conducted on the basis of information made available to BakerRisk. Neither BakerRisk nor any person acting on its behalf makes any warranty or representation, expressed or implied, with respect to the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of this information. All observations, conclusions and recommendations contained herein are relevant only to the project, and should not be applied to any other facility or operation.

Any third party use of this Report or any information or conclusions contained therein shall be at the user's sole risk. Such use shall constitute an agreement by the user to release, defend and indemnify BakerRisk from and against any and all liability in connection therewith (including any liability for special, indirect, incidental or consequential damages), regardless of how such liability may arise.

BakerRisk regards the work that it has done as being advisory in nature. The responsibility for use and implementation of the conclusions and recommendations contained herein rests entirely with the client.

BakerRisk Project No. 01-00750-002-00 January 5, 2005

Table of Contents

1.0	TEST INFORMATION1
2.0	SPECIMEN DESCRIPTION
3.0	DISCUSSION OF RESULTS

List of Tables

Table 1.	Summary of	Test Results
----------	------------	--------------

List of Figures

Figure 1.	. BR606 Test Specimen	2
-----------	-----------------------	---

Appendices

Appendix 1 Test Approach and Setup	4
Appendix 2 Detailed Test Data	10
Appendix 3 Window Specimen Details	28
Appendix 4 GSA Document	45

BakerRisk Project No. 01-00750-002-00 September 7, 2004

1.0 TEST INFORMATION

Ber F

No.

Non-cal

ALCON S

NAL ST

Test Sponsor:	United States Aluminum 720 Cel-River Road	Corp.		
	Rock Hill, South Carolin	a, 2973	0	
Manufacturer:	United States Aluminum	Corp.		
	720 Cel-River Road			
	Rock Hill, South Carolin	a, 2973	0	
Testing Agency:	Baker Engineering and F 3330 Oakwell Court, Suit San Antonio, TX 78218	tisk Co le 100	nsultants, Inc. (Baker	Risk)
Window Model:	BR606 Blast Resistant Gl	azing S	System	
Test Load:	Peak Blast Pressure	=	5.9 psi	
	Positive Phase Impulse	=	44 psi-msec	
Test Standard:	ASTM F 1642 – 03 "Stan Systems Subject to Airblas	dard Ti t Loadi	est Method for Glazing ngs''	and Glazing

Baker Engineering & Risk Consultants, Inc. (BakerRisk) certifies that all information contained in this document is accurate to the best of our knowledge, and that every attempt was made during these tests to meet the requirements of the referenced test method

ł

Michael J. Kowak. Senior Consultant

Raymond H. Bennett, Ph.D., P.E.

Manager, Protective Structures



BakerRisk Project No. 01-00750-002-00 January 5, 2005

2.0 SPECIMEN DESCRIPTION

The window units submitted for certification testing were 6800 Series Fixed Window units. The Series BR606 Blast Resistant System units submitted for testing had overall dimensions of 96 inches in width and 96 inches in height. The units represented an 8-foot wide window wall including four panes of glass as shown in Figure 1. Each lite was a 1 5/16-inch thick IGU consisting of a ¹/₄-inch thick glass exterior lite, a ¹/₂-inch air space, and a ¹/₂-inch thick laminated annealed glass interior lite. The laminated lite included a 0.060-inch thick Butacite interlayer. Panes A and C included annealed glass exterior lites, but panes B and D included tempered glass exterior lites. The glass extended into the frame 0.562-inch on all sides, and a 1/4-inch wide by 7/16-inch deep Dow 995 silicone bead was provided on the interior face of the IGU.

The detailed drawings of the framing system are included in Appendix 2. The center vertical mullion was reinforced with a steel insert to improve the flexural strength of the member. Details of the insert are provided in Detail 8. All connections of horizontal members to vertical members included the use of a shear block as shown in the details. The perimeter frame members were attached to a steel test buck using 3/8-inch diameter, Grade 5 bolts at the spacings shown in the detail drawings. The vertical mullion insert was attached directly to the test buck with two ³/₄-inch diameter Grade 5 bolts at each end.



Figure 1. BR606 Test Specimen

BakerRisk Project No. 01-00750-002-00 January 5, 2005

3.0 DISCUSSION OF RESULTS

Three Series BR606 Blast Resistant System specimens were tested to certify the level of blast resistance based on the test method presented by ASTM F1642-03. All three tests (Tests UA1, UA2, and UA3) resulted in ASTM "Minimal Hazard" window responses. In all tests, some dusting debris was generated on the protected side of the window. No damage to the witness panel was observed in Tests UA1 and UA2, and only one perforation of the witness panel was observed in Test UA3. This level of debris hazard is allowable under the "Minimal Hazard" rating. The test results of Test UA1 and UA2 are also consistent with GSA Performance Condition 2, but the Test UA3 response is classified as a GSA Performance Condition 4 based on the single witness panel impact. All glass dusting debris originated from the two larger glass panes in the unit. The two smaller panes did not break under the applied loading.

Very limited damage to the framing was observed in Tests UA1, UA2, and UA3. The door was observed to rebound open in each test, but remained operable and typically, the closure no longer held the door seated in the doorframe. In Test UA1, additional damage was observed to the frame of the door panel, because in rebound the bottom of the door impacted part of the test apparatus after very limited swing. A slight adjustment was made in the mounting of the specimen for Test UA2 and UA3 to prevent the restriction on the door swing. Without the restriction on the amount of door swing, the door panel frame was not damaged in Tests UA2 and UA3. The vertical mullion was measured to have roughly 1/16-inch permanent deformation in each of these tests. Accelerometer data measured in the test indicates that the peak deflection of the vertical mullion was 1.2 inches in each of Test UA2 and UA3.

A summary of the test results is provided in Table 1. The test setup and equipment are described in Appendix 1. Detailed test information, pressure-time histories for the applied load, and a limited photographic record for each test are included in Appendix 2. Each test was documented using still photography, video, and high-speed video. All photographs, video footage, and highspeed video footage taken of the tests are included on an accompanying compact disc along with an electronic version of this report.

Test No.	Specimen Description	Pressure (psi)	Impulse (psi-msec)	Duration (msec)	Response
UA1	Series BR606 (96" x 96")	6.3	46	19	GSA - Condition 2 ASTM – Minimal Hazard
UA2	Series BR606 (96" x 96")	5.9	44	19	GSA - Condition 2 ASTM - Minimal Hazard
¹ UA3	Series BR606 (96" x 96")	6.1	45	19	GSA - Condition 4 ASTM - Minimal Hazard

Table 1. Summary of Test Results

3

BakerRisk Project No. 01-00750-002-00 January 5, 2005

APPENDIX 1 TEST APPROACH AND SETUP

BakerRisk Project No. 01-00750-002-00 January 5, 2005

Test Approach

The test program followed the general procedures and window performance criteria from the ASTM F 1642-03 Standard Test Method for Glazing and Glazing Systems Subject to Airblast Loadings. Also referenced is the General Services Administration (GSA) Standard Test Method for Glazing and Glazing Systems Subject to Airblast Loadings. The two documents are very similar, but have slightly different methods of reporting window performance. The ASTM document provides descriptions of various Hazard Ratings, and the GSA document provides descriptions of various Hazard Ratings, and the GSA document provides descriptions of various Performance Conditions. Although the terminology is different, the two systems are very comparable. A tabularized comparison of the two performance rating schemes is provided in Appendix 1 - Table 1. A copy of the ASTM document can be obtained from ASTM. The GSA document is available for public distribution and a copy of the document is provided in Appendix 3.

The referenced test standards require the testing of three like specimens to certify performance of a glazing or glazing system. This program was conducted as a combined certification and research program. The window system was tested to various blast loads to determine the best suited blast loading to use in the certification tests, and then additional repeat tests were conducted to satisfy the repeatability requirement of the referenced test standards.

BakerRisk Project No. 01-00750-002-00 January 5, 2005

ASTM Hazard Rating	ASTM Description	Similar GSA Performance Condition	GSA Description
No Break	The glazing is observed not to fracture and there is no visible damage to the glazing system.	1	Glazing does not break. No visible damage to glazing or frame.
No Hazard	The glazing is observed to fracture but is fully retained in the facility test frame or glazing system frame, and the rear surface (the surface opposite the airblast loaded side of the specimen) is intact.	2	Glazing cracks but is retained by the frame. Dusting or very small fragments near sill or on floor acceptable.
	The glazing is observed to fracture and the total length of tears in the glazing plus the total length of		
Minimal Hazard	pullout from the edge of the frame is less than 20% of the glazing sight perimeter. Also there are less than 3 pinhole perforations and no fragment indents anywhere in a vertical witness panel located 3 m (120 in.) from the interior face of the specimen, and there are fragments with a sum total united dimension of 25 cm (10 in.) or less on the floor	3A	Glazing cracks. Fragments enter space and land on floor no further than 3.3 ft. from the window.
	between 1 m (40 in.) and 3 m (120 in.) from the interior face of the specimen. Glazing dust and slivers are not accounted for in the ming		
Very Low Hazard	The glazing is observed to fracture and is located within 1 m (40 in.) of the original location. Also, there are three or less pinhole perforations and no fragment indents anywhere in a vertical witness panel located 3 m (120 in.) from the interior face of the specimen and there are fragments with a sum total united dimension of 25 cm (10 in.) or less on the floor between 1 m (40 in.) and 3 m (120 in.) from the interior face of the specimen. Glazing dust and slivers are not accounted for in the rating.	3B	Glazing cracks. Fragments enter space and land on floor no further than 10 ft. from the window.
Low Hazard	fragments generally fall between 1 m (40 in.) of the interior face of the specimen and 0.5 m (20 in.) or		
	less above the floor of a vertical witness panel located 3 m (120 in.) from the interior face of the specimen. Also, there are ten or fewer perforations in the area of a vertical witness panel located 3 m (120 in.) from the interior face of the specimen and higher than 0.5 m (20 in.) and none of the perforations penetrate through the first layer of the witness panel.	4	Glazing cracks. Fragments enter space and land on floor and impact a vertical witness panel at a distance of no more than 10 ft. from the window at a height no greater than 2 ft. above the floor.
High Hazard	Glazing is observed to fracture and there are more than ten perforations in the area of a vertical witness panel located 3 m (120 in.) from the interior face of the specimen and higher than 0.5 m (20 in.) above the floor or there are one or more perforations in the same witness panel area with a fragment penetration into the second layer of the witness panel.	5	Glazing cracks and window sys-tem fails catastrophically. Frag-ments enter space impacting a vertical witness panel at a dis-tance of no more than 10ft. from the window at a height greater than 2 ft. above the floor.

Appendix 1 - Table 1. ASTM Hazard Ratings and GSA Performance Conditions

BakerRisk Project No. 01-00750-002-00 January 5, 2005

Test Apparatus

The dynamic tests of the windows were conducted in BakerRisk's large shock tube. BakerRisk's shock tube is a test apparatus that consists of two major sections, a driver section and an expansion section. Blast pressures are generated when a rupture diaphragm placed between the two sections fails due to pressure in the driver section. A shock wave then travels down the expansion section and loads the test specimen at the end of the expansion section. In this particular test series, the driver was baffled to reduce the effects of reloading by reflections that exist in the shock tube. A photograph of the shock tube is shown in Appendix 1 - Figure 1.

The shock tube has an 8-foot square target area. The test specimens were 66.5 inches wide and 92.5 inches tall and were mounted such that the specimen was centered in the target area of the shock tube. An 8-foot wide by 10-foot deep room was placed behind the window specimens with a witness panel mounted to the back wall of the room. A photograph illustrating the typical mounting of a window specimen is shown in Appendix 1 - Figure 2. The witness room behind the window was used to allow determination of the ASTM Hazard Ratings and GSA Performance Conditions for each test. Appendix 1 - Figure 3 graphically depicts the ASTM Hazard Rating System. Appendix 1 - Figure 4 graphically depicts the GSA Performance Condition rating system.



Appendix 1 - Figure 1. Photograph of BakerRisk Large Shock Tube



Appendix 1 - Figure 2. Mounted Specimen in Shock Tube



Appendix 1 - Figure 3. Illustration of ASTM Hazard Rating System

BakerRisk Project No. 01-00750-002-00 January 5, 2005



Side view schematic of criteria Appendix 1 - Figure 4. Illustration of GSA Performance Conditions

Active Instrumentation

The applied test load was measured in the test using three dynamic pressure transducers. The transducers were located on the sidewalls and floor of the shock tube very near the target face in order to record the applied pressure data. Baseline tests have been performed with a rigid plate mounted over the shock tube target area and an additional pressure transducer mounted at the center of the plate. These baseline tests were performed to determine the shock tube configuration required to deliver specific loads for various threats and to serve as proof that the side and floor-mounted transducers record loads similar to that recorded by the center mounted transducer. The typical variation between transducer readings is approximately 5%. Another dynamic pressure transducer was fielded in the sidewall of the witness room, five feet behind the window specimen, to measure any blast pressure entering the protected space.

The data from the pressure transducers were recorded using a LeCroy - 6810, 16-channel 12-bit waveform digitizer that is capable of sampling rates up to 5 Mhz; however, a 1 Mhz sample rate was used for these tests. The voltage signals from the transducers were conditioned using a PCB-483B07 multipurpose 12-channel amplifying power unit. Recorded data was saved to computer disk for data plotting and interpretation. High-speed video, normal video, and digital still photography were also used to document the tests. Video and photographic data accompanies this report on CD. Several views were recorded using high-speed video cameras at different times during the tests. In all cases, an overall view of the window was recorded with normal and high-speed video. Other high-speed video views included a close-up view of the intermediate horizontal members to document movement of the member or of the member connections.

BakerRisk Project No. 01-00750-002-00 January 5, 2005

APPENDIX 2 DETAILED TEST DATA

BakerRisk Project No. 01-00750-002-00 January 5, 2005

APPENDIX 2 DETAILED TEST DATA

BakerRisk Project No. 01-00750-002-00 January 5, 2005

Test UA1

United States Aluminum Window Testing

Test Name Driver Lenc	UA1	57	Test Date	6/23/2004	25	nel	Tempera	ature Informa	tion (°F)
RWE	24"			sure		per	Witness R At Glass S	oom Interior iurface	84 86
Gauge Number	Gauge Type	Serial Number	Sensitivity	Full Scale Voltage (volts)	Negative Offset Voltage (volts)	Conditioner Gain	Peak Pressure	Maximum Impulse	Duration
1	102M196	18619	100.1	4	-1	1	6.2	45.6	20.1
2	102M196	18620	94.31	4	-1	1	6.3	46.1	17.7
3	102M196	21227	99.82	4	-1	1	6.4	45.8	20.1
4	102M196	21225	103	4	-1	1	0.2	1.5	22.0
5									
6									
7									
8					Averag	e Airblast Data	6.3	45.8	19.3
9	4								
10					Vid	eo Time Offset	0	msec	
11									
12									
14									
15	+								
16									
No. of Concession, Name	<u> </u>			Window	Descriptic		Contraction of the second		L
United State door as show	s Aluminum wn in drawin	Series BR6 as labeled I	306 Blast Res USA-2775, SI	istant Glazir	ng System i ugh 15.	n an 8-foot by 8-	foot window	wall configura	ation with
		And a second second second		Respons	e Descripti	on			
The two larg	er panes of	alass shatte	ared and glas	s dusting de	bris was of	enerated on the r	protected sid	de of the wind	ow.
Dusting deb	ris was obse	rved on the	floor of the v	vitness pane	up to 10 f	set from the test	specimen.	but no impact	sor
punctures in	the witness	panel were	observed. T	he framing	members w	vere observed to	be only slig	htly damaged	The door
frame was o	bserved to b	e damaged	slightly due f	to impact of	the bottom	of the door with	a part of the	shock tube a	sit
rebounded o	pen after a	very limited	amount of sv	wing. The d	amage sho	uld be considere	d as non-ty	pical response	due to the
restriction of	the door sw	ing. The re	maining fram	ne members	were obser	rved to have no	apparent da	mage. The ve	artical
mullion was	measured to	have a per	rmanent defo	rmation of 1	/16 of and i	inch. All connec	tions were fr	ound to have r	no
significant m	ovement or	damage.							

BakerRisk Project No. 01-00750-002-00 January 5, 2005



12

United States Aluminum Corp. (BR606 Blast Resistant Glazing System)BakerRisk Project No. 01-00750-002-00Shock Tube Testing of Windows for Blast Resistance CertificationJanuary 5, 2005



Pressure in Protected Space, Gauge 4



Pre-test Photographs of Test Specimen

BakerRisk Project No. 01-00750-002-00 January 5, 2005



Post-test Photographs of Test Specimen



Glass Debris on Floor of Witness Room

BakerRisk Project No. 01-00750-002-00 January 5, 2005



Post-test Photograph of Witness Panel

BakerRisk Project No. 01-00750-002-00 January 5, 2005

Test UA2

United States Aluminum Window Testing

Test Name Driver Leng RWE	UA2 jth 24"	57	Test Date Driver Pres	6/23/2004 sure	25	psi	Tempera Ambient Witness R At Glass S	ature Informa com Interior urface	tion (°F) 88 91 93
Gauge Number	Gauge Type	Serial Number	Sensitivity	Full Scale Voltage (volts)	Negative Offset Voltage (volts)	Conditioner Gain	Peak Pressure	Maximum Impulse	Duration
1	102M196	18619	100.1	4	-1	1	6.0	44.1	19.8
2	102M196	18620	94.31	4	-1	1	5.9	44.2	17.5
3	102M196	21227	99.82	4	-1	1	5.9	44.5	19.8
4	102M196	21225	103	4	-1	1	0.2	1.7	19.9
5	353B18	60248	10.53	10	-2	0.5	1.19		16.7
6									
7									
8				1	Averag	e Airblast Data	5.9	44.3	19.0
9									
10					Vid	eo Time Offset	0	msec	
11									
12									
13									
14									
15									
16									
				Window	Descriptio	n			
United State door as sho	s Aluminum wn in drawin	Series BR(gs labeled l	306 Blast Res USA-2775, SI	istant Glazir	ng System i ugh 15.	n an 8-foot by 8-	foot window	wall configura	ation with
				Response	Description	on			
The two larg	er panes of	glass shatte	ered and glas	s dusting de	bris was ge	nerated on the p	protected sid	le of the windo	ow.
Dusting deb	ris was obse	rved on the	floor of the v	vitness pane	l up to 10 fe	et from the test	specimen, I	out no impacts	or
punctures in vertical mull significant m	the witness ion was mea novement or	panel were sured to ha damage.	observed. T ive a perman	he frame mo ent deformat	embers wer tion of 1/16	e observed to h of and inch. All	ave no appa connections	arent damage. were found to	The o have no

BakerRisk Project No. 01-00750-002-00 January 5, 2005



17









BakerRisk Project No. 01-00750-002-00 January 5, 2005



Pre-test Photographs of Test Specimen



Post-test Photographs of Test Specimen



Dusting Debris on Immediately Behind Window



Dusting Debris on Floor of Witness Room



Post-test Photograph of Witness Panel

BakerRisk Project No. 01-00750-002-00 January 5, 2005

Test UA3

United States Aluminum Window Testing

Test Name UA3 Driver Length RWE 24"		UA3 Test Date 6/23/2004 h 57 Driver Pressure 24"		25	25 psi		Temperature Information Ambient Witness Room Interior At Glass Surface		
Gauge Number	Gauge Type	Serial Number	Sensitivity	Full Scale Voltage (volts)	Negative Offset Voltage (volts)	Conditioner Gain	Peak Pressure	Maximum Impulse	Duration
1	102M196	18619	100.1	4	-1	1	6.3	44.7	20.0
2	102M196	18620	94.31	4	-1	1	5.8	44.5	17.5
3	102M196	21227	99.82	4	-1	1	6.2	44.2	19.8
4	102M196	21225	103	4	-1	1	0.2	1.7	22.3
5	353B18	60248	10.53	10	-2	0.5	1.20		14.8
6									
7									
8					Averag	e Airblast Data	6.1	44.5	19.1
9									
10					Vid	eo Time Offset	0	msec	
11									
12									
13									
14									
15									
16									
				Window	Descriptio	n			Received and the second second
United State	s Aluminum wn in drawin	Series BRe gs labeled l	06 Blast Res JSA-2775, SI	istant Glazin neets 1 throu	ng System i ugh 15.	n an 8-foot by 8-	foot window	wall configura	ation with
				Response	Descriptio	on	a desisten des references an	n de la companya de l	
The two larg	er panes of	glass shatte	ered and glas	s dusting de	bris was ge	nerated on the r	protected sid	le of the winde	ow.
Dusting deb	ris was obse	rved on the	floor of the w	itness pane	up to 10 fe	et from the test	specimen a	nd a single pu	incture of
the foil lined	witness pan	el in the lov	hazard regio	on was obse	rved. The f	rame members	were obser	ved to have no	apparent
damage. Th	e vertical m	ullion was n	neasured to h	ave a permi	anent defor	mation of 1/16 o	f and inch.	All connection	s were
found to hav	e no signific	ant movem	ent or damag	0.					
	-								

BakerRisk Project No. 01-00750-002-00 January 5, 2005



23









BakerRisk Project No. 01-00750-002-00 January 5, 2005



Pre-test Photographs of Test Specimen



Post-test Photographs of Test Specimen





Dusting Debris on Floor of Witness Room



Post-test Photograph of Witness Panel



Single Impact in Low Hazard Area of Witness Panel